# Community consultation feedback and outcome

# July 2020

In 2020 the Australian Digital Inclusion project team sought feedback on a reimagined Australian Digital Inclusion Index. Submissions closed 13 March 2020.

Feedback was received from the following stakeholders:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| * Australian Communications Consumer Action Network
 | * Adult Learning Australia
 |
| * Australian Library & Information Association
 | * Digital Capability Development Unit (Queensland Government)
 |
| * Digital Futures (Tasmanian Government)
 | * Good Things Foundation Australia
 |
| * Infoxchange
 | * LEEP
 |
| * Low Income Measures Assessment Committee
 | * Office of the eSafety Commissioner
 |
| * NBN co
 | * Public Libraries South Australia
 |
| * Digital Media Research Centre, QUT
 | * South Australian Council of Social Services
 |
| * State Library of Queensland
 | * Tasmanian Council of Social Services
 |
| * The Smith Family
 | * The University of Sydney
 |
| * Western Australian Office of Digital Government
 | * Western Sydney University
 |

This document summarises the feedback received, and details how that feedback has informed the ADII 2.0.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| TOPIC | FEEDBACK | RESPONSE |
| INDEX ARCHITECTURE |  |  |
| Should the ADII 2.0 include emerging technologies?  | Yes. Stakeholders specifically highlighted the benefits emerging personal digital access technologies can provide people with disabilities.  | ADII 2.0 captures data about individual access to and use of emerging personal technologies such as voice-controlled speakers and smartwatches.  |
| Is data allowance a relevant measure of personal access given the rise of ‘unlimited’ plans?  | Yes. Although ‘unlimited’ plans are increasing, they are not an enabler of digital inclusion for all.  | ADII 2.0 continues to capture data about data allowance.  |
| Does 5G access indicate higher quality mobile internet access?  | Yes. However, stakeholders cautioned that 5G could be a proxy for wealth and/or other privilege(s).  | ADII 2.0 captures data about 5G access.  |
| What digital skills are most important today?  | Stakeholders highlighted issues of online safety (including privacy and scam awareness). Stakeholders also highlighted the need for a digital skills framework to unify digital inclusion efforts nationally.  | ADII 2.0 Digital Ability measures are based on the Internet Skills Survey developed by researchers at the London School of Economics. This will dramatically enhance the value of ADII 2.0 data by allowing international comparability.  |
| How useful would an evaluation tool based on the ADII 2.0 be? | This would be useful but would need to consider the differing duration of digital skills programs.  | Noted. The tool is still to be scoped. |
| GEOGRAPHIC REPORTING |  |  |
| How important are state/territory scores to users of the Index?  | State and territory scores are valuable from an advocacy and funding perspective, and useful for comparing with NBN uptake data. Stakeholders expressed concern about the impact of sample size on smaller states and territories. | ADII 2.0 will retain most state and territory scores. The sample size for ADII 2.0 is 2,000. Statistical modelling based on ABS regions will provide more granular detail than what was previously available.  |
| Would you conduct ADII 2.0 surveys or undertake spatial statistical modelling?  | Yes. However, stakeholders noted their ability to do so would be constrained by resourcing.  | Noted. |
| Would aligning to ABS regions assist with the usability of the Index? | Yes.  | ADII 2.0 will be aligned with ABS regions. |
| DEMOGRAHIC COHORT REPORTING |  |  |
| Are the demographic and socio-economic reporting categories of the ADII enough?  | Stakeholders requested a more intersectional framing of demographic cohorts.  | ADII 2.0 uses ABS demographic cohorts to enable statistical modelling. Although intersectional framing of these is out of scope for ADII 2.0, stakeholder interest suggests qualitative research with specific cohorts would be valuable. The ADII research team is also developing an ADII 2.0 data collection tool that would be available for community groups to undertake their own research. The ADII research team is available for partnerships to undertake research deep dives.  |
| What other cohorts would stakeholders like data on?  | Stakeholders highlighted the need for:* representative Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander inclusion within the data;
* a more expansive understanding of disability;
* and the ability to capture data on the digital inclusion of those in transient housing.
 | The sample size for ADII 2.0 is 2000. ADII 2.0 uses ABS demographic cohorts and statistical modelling will enable some insight into cohorts such as people with disability.ADII 2.0 will not capture data for those in transient housing. However, the project team developing an ADII 2.0 data collection tool that would be available for community groups to undertake their own research. The ADII research team is available for partnerships to undertake research deep dives. |
| Are there preferred ways of recognising and measuring demographic groups? | Stakeholders requested inclusion of multiple forms of income support.  | ADII 2.0 will capture data on multiple forms of income support.  |
| DATA REPORTING AND AVAILABILTY  |  |  |
| Would the release of more detailed data be of value?  | Yes. Ideally, this would be at the regional and Local Government Area level.  | Noted.Detailed data will be released on the ADII website. |
| In what format and at what level of granularity would this be most useful? | CSV or JSON.  | Noted. CSV files will be made available on the ADII website. |
| CUSTOMISED PUBLIC DIGITAL INCLUSION SURVEY AND REPORTING TOOL |  |  |
| Would stakeholders use the ADII 2.0 to collect their own data? | While deemed useful, stakeholders were concerned that improper use might damage the ADII 2.0 brand. In addition, stakeholders noted their ability to collect data would depend on resourcing. | Noted. |
| ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK |  |  |
|  | Stakeholders disagreed with the proposed removal of ‘places of internet access’. Stakeholders encouraged consideration of the difference between access and accessibility for people with disabilities. | ADII 2.0 will continue to collect data on ‘places of internet access’.The quantitative methods underpinning ADII 2.0 will not enable consideration of the difference between access and accessibility. Stakeholder interest, however, suggests qualitative research on this topic would be valuable.  |

|  |
| --- |
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